

Has nothing to do with the country

On Jul 4, 2017, at 11:59 AM, Arnie Rosner
<arnie@arnierosner.com> wrote:

Most people simply can't...Can't think for themselves. Their thinking capabilities have been locked "on hold," and shut down. And the people to whom this applies don't even realize it. You see...they think they know.

Now if you are one of the few who have control of their minds....and if you are capable of separating your thinking ability from what we have been taught and to which we have been indoctrinated...you may be prepared to really begin to understand more of where we stand as Americans.

A man I respect as being really smart and knowledgeable in the matters of the American past, ed johnston <edjohnston2003@yahoo.com> has taken a lot of time to provide us with some enlightening and provocative thinking material. You are invited to review it as you see fit...After all as a sovereign, no-one tells a sovereign what to do...that is unless he/she consents...and that is the whole idea...

By adjusting our thinking...

Has nothing to do with the country

Today may become the Independence Day for all

Americans who understand they are **not** US Citizens.

Americans are living, breathing people. Living souls.
These thoughts were described to me by another great American...and I too agree.

Most people with whom I have interacted, regardless of any particular religious belief, have come to accept that spiritually speaking...we were created by and in the likeness of our Creator, the **CREATOR OF THE UNIVERSE**.

Most of these same people also seem to agree we were all created as equal human beings and endowed with equal human rights---unalienable rights—which include free choice and equal political status.

Even those misguided souls who have become confused about their role in society must realize that an important authority to which they must comply and obey, recognizes that it is the people who are the masters...Kings and queens with no subjects.

Americans are sovereigns!

1794 US Supreme Court case *Glass v. Sloop Betsey*: "... Our government is founded upon compact. Sovereignty was, and is, in the people" 1829 US Supreme Court case *Lansing v. Smith*:


"People of a state are entitled to all rights which formerly belong to the King, by his prerogative."

Has nothing to do with the country

“The sovereignty of a state does not reside in the persons who fill the different departments of its government, but in the People, from whom the government emanated; and they may change it at their discretion. Sovereignty, then in this country, abides with the constituency, and not with the agent; and this remark is true, both in reference to the federal and state government.”

[You become the reality you accept](#)

Arnie



[American Civil Flag - at Peace since 1874](#)

The truth,,,,,takes so few words to express!

Available 24/7 -

arnie@arnierosner.com

[Http://scannedretina.com](http://scannedretina.com)

Has nothing to do with the country

714-964-4056

714-501-8247 - mobile

On Jul 4, 2017, at 10:55 AM, Lee S Gliddon Jr
<runlv4@gmail.com> wrote:

POSTED America in turmoil - Thanks to Liberal
Democrats!

On Tue, Jul 4, 2017 at 10:09 AM, Peri McMillan
<peri.mcmillan@dslextreme.com> wrote:

From: Frank P Coll [<mailto:fcoll82@gmail.com>]

Sent: Tuesday, July 4, 2017 9:40 AM

To: wolfenii@mediacombb.net

Subject: THINK ABOUT THIS!!!

**IS AMERICA
STILL A**

NATION?

Pat Buchanan: 'Are we passing on the house we inherited – or observing its demolition?'

[HTTP://WWW.WND.COM/2017/07/IS-AMERICA-STILL-A-NATION/](http://www.wnd.com/2017/07/is-america-still-a-nation/)

In the first line of the Declaration of Independence of July 4, 1776, Thomas Jefferson speaks of “one people.”

The Constitution, agreed upon by the Founding Fathers in Philadelphia in 1789, begins, “We the people ...”

And who were these “people”?

In Federalist No. 2, John Jay writes of them as “one united people ... descended from the same ancestors, speaking the same language, professing the same religion, attached to the same principles of government, very similar in their manners and customs. ...”

If such are the elements of nationhood and peoplehood, can we still speak of Americans as one nation and one people?

We no longer have the same ancestors. They are of every color and from every country.

We do not speak one language, but rather English, Spanish and a host of others.

We long ago ceased to profess the same religion. We are evangelical Christians, mainstream Protestants, Catholics, Jews, Mormons, Muslims, Hindus and Buddhists, agnostics and atheists.

Federalist No. 2 celebrated our unity.

Today's elites proclaim that our diversity is our strength.

But is this true, or a tenet of trendy ideology?

After the attempted massacre of Republican congressmen at that ball field in Alexandria, Fareed Zakaria wrote: "The political polarization that is ripping this country apart" is about "identity ... gender, race, ethnicity, sexual orientation (and) social class." He might have added – religion, morality, culture and history.

Zakaria seems to be tracing the disintegration of our society to that very diversity that its elites proclaim to be its greatest attribute: "If the core issues are about identity, culture and religion ... then compromise seems immoral.

American politics is becoming more like Middle Eastern politics, where

there is no middle ground between being Sunni or Shiite.”

Among the issues on which we Americans are at war with one another – abortion, homosexuality, same-sex marriage, white cops, black crime, Confederate monuments, LGBT rights, affirmative action.

Was the discovery of America and conquest of this continent from 1492 to the 20th century among the most glorious chapters in the history of man?

Or was it a half-millennium marked by mankind’s most scarlet of sins: the genocide of native peoples, the enslavement of Africans, the annihilation of indigenous cultures, the spoliation of a virgin land?

Is America really “God’s Country”?

Or was Barack Obama’s pastor, Rev. Jeremiah Wright, justified when, after 9/11, he denounced calls of “God Bless America!” with the curse “God Damn America!”?

With its silence, the congregation seemed to assent.

In 1954, the Pledge of Allegiance many of us recited daily at the end of noon recess in the

schoolyard was amended to read, “one nation, under God, indivisible.”

Are we still one nation under God?

At the Democratic Convention in Charlotte to renominate Barack Obama, a motion to put “God” back into the platform was hooted and booed by half the assembly.

With this July 4 long weekend, many writers have bewailed the animus Americans exhibit toward one another and urged new efforts to reunite us.

Yet, recall again those first words of Jefferson in 1776:

“When in the Course of human events it becomes necessary for one people to dissolve the political bands which have connected them ...”

Are we approaching such a point?

Could the Constitution, as currently interpreted, win the approval of two-thirds of our citizens and three-fourth of our states, if it were not already the supreme law of the land?

How would a national referendum on the Constitution turn out, when many Americans are already seeking a new constitutional convention?

All of which invites the question:

Are we still a nation? And what is a nation?

French writer Ernest Renan gave us the answer in the 19th century:

“A nation is a soul, a spiritual principle. Two things ... constitute this soul, this spiritual principle.

One is the past, the other is the present.

One is the possession in common of a rich legacy of memories;

the other is present consent, the desire to live together, the desire to continue to invest in the heritage that we have jointly received.

“Of all cults, that of the ancestors is the most legitimate: our ancestors have made us what we are. A heroic past with great men and glory ... is the social capital upon which the national idea rests.

These are the essential conditions of being a people: having common glories in the past and a will to continue them in the present; having made great things together and wishing to make them again.”

Does this sound at all like us

today?

Watching our Lilliputians tearing down statues and monuments, renaming buildings and streets, rewriting history books to replace heroes and historical truths with the doings of ciphers, are we disassembling the nation we once were?

“One loves in proportion to the sacrifices that one has committed and the troubles that one has suffered,” writes Renan, “One loves the house that one has built and that one passes on.”

Are we passing on the house we inherited – or observing its demolition?