Dear Congressman Rohrabacher,

How does this grab you.....

As you requested...

I am providing you with documents that lead me to suspect Mr. Obama is indeed a fraud.

Arnie

Getting a taste of constituent treatment. The conspiracy in which Congress is participating is coming home to roost?

Obama administration defies congressional subpoena on Fort Hood documents

By Craig Whitlock
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Tuesday, April 27, 2010; 3:00 PM

(More details follow)

By the way...might just seem like a good time to revisit the eligibility issue...don't you think? So maybe now that OB has you and the rest
in a box he no longer needs to honor the dignity of the People's house?

So maybe the CRS reports were not so reliable? Maybe the information on which the entire Congress was led to believe that the illegal alien usurper was eligible was deliberately fraudulent? Maybe the entire 111th Congress and the Supreme Court got scammed? Could that be?

Can you believe that...? The smartest group of legislators in the world, scammed by what may turn out to be a snake oil salesman.

Don't you think you should stop appearing so stupid and investigate for yourselves? Don't you think something as important as our national security is more important than the word of someone who could probably not even get a driver's license in Washington DC?

Well now...maybe everyone should re-examine the information for themselves?

Now if you find this report from the CRS, shocking, by now, you might be looking for some answers. Can't say I blame you. Looks like you were deliberately set up; made to look pretty foolish.
So how about these for starters? But before we even get to the analysis, the burning question in my mind as I am sure it is in yours....just who directed the CRS to provide this information which is so obviously tainted?

The following analysis was provided by an independent researcher who evaluated this report. Now this is just my opinion here Congressman Rohrabacher, but it seems the many years of hard earned reputation for quality unbiased research held to the highest standards of ethics, just like everything else Mr. Obama's administration touches, has been thrown in the crapper. (Oh...did I say that?)

Please review this information for yourself.

To date Congressman [REDACTED] has not responded to letters written to him from a variety of his constituents on this same issue. As for me, letters dated [REDACTED] have not been responded to, as it pertains to the Congressman not stating a position on the issue.

In our previous conversations, you indicated [and I paraphrase] that the Congressman has not stated in writing a position on this issue for two basic reasons:

1. The Congressman has received credible information from the Congressional Research Service, a branch of the Library of Congress, that has led him to the conclusion that he should not make a written, formal position known.
2. Making a formal, written position on the issue would in some way validate the issue’s credibility, where the congressman has concluded that none is due.
First please allow me the opportunity to thank you for your work and long tenure at the CRS and Library of Congress. I have been impressed with your credentials as a researcher and your standing with the CRS and LoC.

That being said, I am concerned that your future credibility as a researcher may come into question as the matter of the multi-facted subject of the President’s origins and his credentials for Natural Born eligibility are continuing to come under growing public and legal scrutiny.

I am also concerned for our Congressman, [redacted], in that as an acting congressman, he relies on the CRS for in-depth and complete information, so as to provide him with the options to make sound decisions of public policy.

In that respect, the 14 page report that was provided to Congressman [redacted] provided incomplete information, based upon biased and non-verifiable claims, leading to your cover-letter conclusion that:

1. "questions surrounding it [President Obama’s place of birth] were ultimately resolved";

and,

2. that there is no substance to the issue due to "an article that points out the Internet falsehoods... can live forever".

If I may direct your attention to the website of the CRS, http://www.loc.gov/crsinfo/whatscrs.html which states in part:

"CRS is committed to supporting an informed national legislature"

And--
"All services and products are authoritative and accurate. Analysts demonstrate rigorous research methodologies, free of built-in bias. They present, explain and justify any critical assumptions; investigate and recheck data anomalies; use primary resources whenever available; double-check all statements of fact; and document and vet all sources"

I would like to submit that in the case of this report, all sources have not been vetted and this report does not conform to CRS standards. Further, the report offered no explanation of critical assumptions, nor did it re-check data anomalies.

Here, for example, is a list of items that your report did not include, and in so doing, failed to fulfill the obligation to provide comprehensive information to Congressman [redacted]:

1. You cite two sources: Fact Check.org and editorials and a report from the McClatchy - Tribune Business News, of the Chicago tribune. -- in the case of the FactCheck.org, the report clearly states that "FactCheck.org's staff, not the Annenberg Center, is responsible for this material."
-- in the case of the Chicago Tribune as source, your report offered no cross-checking of any facts.

2. The Proquest - Chicago Trib article "internet Drives... Lives On Forever" is a non-sequitur.

With respect to the substance of those articles, it was omitted that:

3. The HI Certification of BHO's birth is an abstract, short form document. No one has seen the long-form original document including FactCheck.org.

4. HI Health officials Onaka and Fukino will not testify under oath that the long-form exists, or that the data contained on the short-form is valid.

5. There have been 17 legal cases, 10 of which are still pending in courts nationwide, related to this issue.

6. The following records of President Obama's life are unavailable due to a failure to disclose:
   -- Noelani Elementary school records
   -- Punahou School records
   -- Occidental College records
   -- Columbia University records
   -- State Department and Passport travel documents
   -- Medical records
   -- Obama/Dunham marriage license
   -- Obama/Dunham divorce documents
   -- Soetoro/Dunham marriage license
   -- Any Soetoro adoption records
The Obama administration said Tuesday it would provide more information to Congress about the Fort Hood shootings but continued to defy a subpoena request for witness statements and other documents.

After days of negotiations, the Pentagon and Justice Department informed a Senate committee...
that they would not comply with congressional subpoenas to share investigative records from the Nov. 5 shootings at Fort Hood, Tex., which killed 13 people. The agencies said that divulging the material could jeopardize their prosecution of Army Maj. Nidal M. Hasan, the accused gunman.

The Pentagon did budge in other areas, however, saying it had agreed to give the Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs access to Hasan's personnel file, as well as part of an Army report that scrutinized why superiors failed to intervene in Hasan's career as an Army psychiatrist, despite signs of his religious radicalization and shortcomings as a soldier.

Leslie Phillips, a spokeswoman for the Senate committee, called the refusal by the Pentagon and the Justice Department to hand over all the requested material "an affront to Congress's constitutional obligation to conduct independent oversight of the executive branch."

She said the committee, chaired by Sen. Joseph I. Lieberman (I-Conn.), was still deciding whether to pursue the subpoenas in court. The committee has complained that the Obama administration has been stonewalling it for months over its Hasan probe, prompting it to issue the subpoenas April 19.

Pentagon press secretary Geoff Morrell said the Defense Department has tried to cooperate as much as possible with the Senate investigation.

"We feel as though we have leaned very far forward," Morrell said. "This is as far as we are prepared to go." He said that Deputy Defense Secretary William J. Lynn III spoke Friday with Lieberman and Sen. Susan Collins (Maine), the committee's ranking Republican, in an attempt to resolve the dispute but added that he didn't know whether the Obama administration's offer would be enough to satisfy the panel.