Respectable and Horrible Americans -

Is it not true that as individual people when faced with any decision regarding the lawful affairs of society, have but two paths to consider…

And honorable path or

A dishonorable path.

And Does not this apply to everyone? Members of Congress included?

So when representing themselves as public officers…trustees of the public trust to which they are elected to protect and apply the principles of lawful stewardship, and sworn to do so as a matter of honor, do you believe these specially recognized trusted members of society have any option to act in any fashion other than as honorable?

We are addressing the rule of law here and not matters of politics…do you see a difference?

And do you agree when an elected official acts in a manner that can only be described as dishonorable, and has acted in dishonor, by the evidence of his own fruit, what should be the lawful consequence as determined by the people of that society?
As Respectable and Honorable Americans...I ask you to Consider!

Dacca has always been about a devious plan by the subversives, deceptively embedded in the Congress to ultimately undermine the American society. Under the guise of humanitarian justification, a great number of unlawfully trespassing immigrants were encouraged to invade our country whereby the subversives begin exploiting the emotional aspects, of the case for immigration.

Immigration? Not Even Close. Cloward-Piven But that is not all…

REALLY pathetic—

The subversives, knowing full well the expected stressful outcome due to the family ties…so they deliberately use these pawns of misfortune to created dissension within the ranks of the American people. The subversives have done this with welfare, free telephones, the creation of the illusion of a healthcare benefit fraudulently misrepresented as a law. Obamacare was never a law and is still not one today. As a society of laws and respect for the rule of law, all proposed bills must pass a lawful process to become a law. With none of the fiduciaries, the members of Congress, having even read the bill, let alone debated and considered all aspects of the bill, there is no way the proposed bill could possibly been passed as a law just because Pelosi said so. Each member of Congress…both the house and the senate are guilty of committing fraud on the American people.

And my dear Americans…DACA has a similar implication and was just as unlawful. Principles like “The Cloward-Piven Strategy,” are well defined to those who study the
practices of the subversives to undermine the American people.

Have we not as yet grown weary of this continuous attack on the American people by elements of foreign invaders who have integrated our society and even began to usurp the very fundamental infrastructure many refer to as our “government?” We are addressing crimes against the people…NOT ISSUES OF POLITICS…Am I alone in these thoughts?

DACA was deliberately enacted with the deliberate criminal intent to help facilitate the destruction of the American way of life from within by the subversives embedded within the Congress…Who are they? Well for starters…West revealed—\textcolor{red}{05Aug16 – West: 80 communists in the House.} But there seem to be plenty more…

So now I must ask…At what point Americans…At what point is enough…\textcolor{red}{enough}?

\textcolor{red}{Confirmation: The Congress IS Complicit! It always has been!}

On Jan 7, 2018, at 4:09 PM, Lee S Gliddon Jr <runlv4@gmail.com> wrote:

\textcolor{red}{POSTED}
On Sun, Jan 7, 2018 at 3:47 PM, Peri McMillan <peri.mcmillan@dslextreme.com> wrote:

**From:** W.G.E.N. [mailto:idzrus@earthlink.net]

**Sent:** Sunday, January 7, 2018 2:52 PM

**To:** W.G.E.N.

**Subject:** SP: DACA Is Not What the Democrats Say It Is. Here Are the Facts.

There should not even be any discussion on DACA - DREAMERS - or ILLEGALS. None of them meet the Rule of Law and are here in absolute violation of the Laws on the books related to foreigners entering America. The American people have allowed our laws to be violated - allowed for unlawful legislation to be written and passed which brought us to this point. I would hope that Pres. Trump and the TRUE conservatives in Congress to eliminate all the FAKE regulations that do not meet the Constitutional law and to DEPORT all those here who fail to meet the law on LEGALLY PRESENT in America.

While I may feel sorry for those who came here or were brought here as children that does not allow them to remain seeing as how they are here ILLEGALLY. That entire argument is based on EMOTION and not on LAW. All those who are claiming this **right** must return to the homeland they came from and then - IF they still want to live in America - they can legally APPLY to enter America and after obtaining LEGAL permission, then they can enter our nation and after becoming CITIZENS they can assimilate into our culture and gain those
RIGHTS they now claim but do not legally have. This is NOT Rocket Science, Folks. Stop allowing the invaders and their proponents to deceive you.

Jackie Juntti

WGEN  idzrus@earthlink.net

Government is a disease masquerading as its own cure. Anon.

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~

Thanks to John Slagle for this item

To: "idzrus@earthlink.net" <idzrus@earthlink.net>

From: John Slagle <slagle5845@suddenlink.net>

Subject: FW: DACA Is Not What the Democrats Say It Is. Here Are the Facts.

Date: Sun, 7 Jan 2018 09:54:58 -0600

To: "idzrus@earthlink.net" <idzrus@earthlink.net>

From: John Slagle <slagle5845@suddenlink.net>

Subject: FW: DACA Is Not What the Democrats Say It Is. Here Are the Facts.

Date: Sun, 7 Jan 2018 09:54:58 -0600

Morning Jackie, FYI. The old Salts of the Patrol presented the DACA facts to Trump long ago but it’s great to see a resurface of the truth in 2018.
Some members of Congress are threatening to block government funding unless Congress provides amnesty to so-called Dreamers the illegal aliens included in President Barack Obama’s Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals program, which President Donald Trump is ending.

Responsible members of Congress should not give in.

Such an effort would be fundamentally flawed and would only encourage even more illegal immigration just as the 1986 amnesty in the Immigration Reform and Control Act did.

Democrats portray the DACA program as only benefiting those who were a few years old when they came to the U.S. illegally, leaving them unable to speak their native language and ignorant of their countries’ cultural norms. Therefore, the reasoning goes, it would be a hardship to return them to the countries where they were born.
Obama himself gave this rationale when he said DACA beneficiaries were “brought to this country by their parents” as infants and face “deportation to a country that [they] know nothing about, with a language” they don’t even speak.

While this may be true of a small portion of the DACA population, it certainly is not true of all of the aliens who received administrative amnesty. In fact, illegal aliens were eligible as long as they came to the U.S. before their 16th birthday and were under the age of 31 as of June 15, 2012.

DACA also required that beneficiaries enroll in school, graduate from high school, obtain a GED certificate, or receive an honorable discharge from the military; have no conviction for a felony, significant misdemeanor, or three or more other misdemeanors; and not pose a threat to national security or public safety.

However, the Obama administration appeared to routinely waive the education (or its equivalent) requirement as long as the illegal alien was enrolled in some kind of program. Only 49 percent of DACA beneficiaries have a high school education despite the fact that a majority of them are adults.

>>> Why Congress Should Not Legalize DACA: The Myths Surrounding the Program
As Respectable and Honorable Americans...I ask you to Consider!

How thorough was Homeland Security vetting? In February 2017, after the arrest of a DACA beneficiary for gang membership, the Department of Homeland Security admitted that at least 1,500 DACA beneficiaries had their eligibility terminated “due to a criminal conviction, gang affiliation, or a criminal conviction related to gang affiliation.”

By August 2017, that number had surged to 2,139.

In fact, based on documents obtained by Judicial Watch, it is apparent that the Obama administration used a “lean and light” system of background checks in which only a few, randomly selected DACA applicants were ever actually vetted.

Additionally, DACA only excluded individuals for convictions. Thus, even if a Homeland Security background investigation which apparently was almost never done produced substantial evidence that an illegal alien might have committed multiple crimes, the alien would still be eligible for DACA unless Homeland Security referred the violation to state or federal prosecutors and the alien was convicted.

DACA had no requirement of English fluency either. In fact, the original application requested applicants to answer whether the form had been “read” to the alien by a translator “in a language in which [the applicant is] fluent.”

The Center for Immigration Studies estimates that “perhaps 24 percent of the
DACA-eligible population fall into the functionally illiterate category and another 46 percent have only ‘basic’ English ability.”

This is a far cry from the image of DACA beneficiaries as all children who don’t speak the language of and know nothing about the culture off their native countries.

In fact, it seems rather that a significant percentage of DACA beneficiaries may have serious limitations in their education, experience, and English fluency that negatively affected their ability to function in American society.

Providing amnesty to low-skilled, low-educated aliens with marginal English language ability would impose large fiscal costs on American taxpayers resulting from increased government payouts and benefits, and would be unfair to legal immigrants who obeyed the law to come here.

Any congressional amnesty bill providing citizenship for DACA beneficiaries could significantly increase the number of illegal aliens who will benefit unless Congress amends the sponsorship rules under federal immigration law. Providing lawful status to millions of so-called “Dreamers” will allow the extended families of those aliens to profit from illegal conduct.

The U.S. accepts about a million legal immigrants every year. According to a recent study, of the 33 million legal immigrants admitted over the last 35 years, about 61 percent were
chain migration immigrants.

The average immigrant has sponsored 3.45 additional immigrants, but for DACA beneficiaries, that number is likely to be much higher. This is because, according to an analysis by the Department of Homeland Security, 76 percent of the DACA beneficiaries were from Mexico. Mexican immigrants sponsor an average of 6.38 additional legal immigrants the highest rate of any nationality for chain migration.

Providing amnesty would simply attract even more illegal immigration and would not solve the myriad of enforcement problems we have along our borders and in the interior of the country. Congress should concentrate on giving the federal government (with the assistance and help of state and local governments) the resources to enforce existing immigration laws to reduce the illegal alien population in the U.S. and stem entry into the country.

**Until those goals are accomplished, it is premature to even consider any DACA-type bill.**
As Respectable and Honorable Americans...I ask you to Consider!

Pastor Lee S Gliddon Jr
God's Word Christian Ministry
Conservative Patriot http://conpats.blogspot.com